

Ecology is an equal opportunity agency. If you require this publication in an alternative format, please contact the Spills Program at (360) 407-7455 (voice) or (TTY) at 711 or 1-800-833-6388.

# SPILL RESPONSE CONTACT SHEET

		· Hazardous Substance or Oil Spills	
		(800	424-8802
In Oregon:			
	sponse System	(800	452-0311
		(800)	
In Washington:		,	
•	nt Division	(800	258-5990
		ice(360	
		(509	
2 oparations of 20010g	Communitogrammi critico	(00)	, = 15 = 15 0
.S. Coast Guard		Federal O.S.R.O./	
ational Response Center	(800) 424-8802	State Approved Response Con	tractors
arine Safety Office Puget Sound:		All Out Environmental Services	(360) 414-8655
Watchstander	(206) 217-6232	Cowlitz Clean Sweep, Inc.	(360) 423-6316
Safety Office	(206) 217-6232	FOSS Environmental	(503) 283-1150
arine Safety Office Portland:	(F02) 240 0204	Fred Devine	(503) 283-5285
Watchstander	(503) 240-9301 (503) 240-0370	Global Diving and Salvage	(206) 623-0621
Safety Office	(503) 240-9379 (415) 883 3311	MSRC	(800) 259-6772
cific Strike Team District 13:	(415) 883-3311	National Response Corporation	(800) 899-4672
MEP/drat	(206) 220-7210	Tidewater Environmental	(503) 289-4274
Command Center	(206) 220-7210		
Public Affairs	(206) 220-7001	Washington State	
Vessel Traffic Service (VTS)	(206) 217-6050	Department of Ecology Headquarters	(360) 407-6900
( 12)	(200) 217 0000	Southwest Region	(360) 407-6300
nvironmental Protection Ag	gency (EPA)	Northwest Region	(425) 649-7000
egion 10 Spill Response	(206) 553-1263	Central Region	(509) 575-2490
ashington Ops Office	(360) 753-9437	Eastern Region	(509) 456-2926
regon Ops Office	(503) 326-3250	Department of Eigh and Wildlife	(260) 524 9222
aho Ops Office	(208) 334-1450	Department of Fish and Wildlife	(360) 534-8233
CRA/ CERCLA Hotline	(800) 424-9346	Emergency Management Division	(360) 438-8639
ıblic Affairs	(206) 553-1203	Emergency Management Division	(800) 258-5990
		State Patrol	(000) 200 2000
ational Oceanic Atmospher	e Administration	Vancouver	(360) 260-6333
eientific Support Coordination	(206) 526-4911		•
eather	(206) 526-6087	Oregon State	
		Department of Environmental Quality	
epartment of Interior		Headquarters (Portland)	(503) 229-5153
nvironmental Affairs	(503) 231-6157	Northwest Region (Portland)	(503) 229-5263
	(503) 621-3682	Eastern Region (Bend)	(541) 338-6146
		Eastern Region (Pendleton)	(541) 278-4063
olumbia River Inter-Tribal		Western Region (Coos Bay)	(541) 269-2721
ortland Office	(503) 238-0667	Western Region (Eugene)	(541) 686-7838
		Western Region (Medford)	(541) 776-6010
owlitz Tribe		Western Region (Salem)	(503) 378-8240
ibal Office	(360) 577-8140	Emergency Response System (OERS)	(503) 378-6377
hinook Tribe		(T. (1))	(800) 452-0311
ribal Office	(360) 777-8303	(In state)	(800) OILS-911
		1	

#### HOW TO USE THIS GEOGRAPHIC RESPONSE PLAN

#### Purpose of Geographic Response Plan (GRP)

This plan prioritizes resources to be protected and allows for immediate and proper action. By using this plan, the first responders to a spill can avoid the initial confusion that generally accompanies any spill.

Geographic Response Plans are used during the emergent phase of a spill which lasts from the time a spill occurs until the Unified Command is operating and/or the spill has been contained and cleaned up. Generally this lasts no more than 24 hours. The GRPs constitute the federal on-scene coordinators' and state on-scene coordinators' (Incident Commanders) "orders" during the emergent phase of the spill. During the project phase, the GRP will continue to be used, and the planned operation for the day will be found in the Incident Action Plan's Assignment List (ICS Form 204). The Assignment List is prepared in the Planning Section with input from natural resource trustees, the Incident Objectives (ICS Form 202), Operations Planning Worksheet (ICS Form 215), and Operations Section Chief.

#### **Strategy Selection**

Chapter 4 contains complete strategy descriptions in matrix form, response priorities, and strategy maps. The strategies depicted in Chapter 4 should be implemented as soon as possible, following the priority table in Section 2 with the "Potential Spill Origin" closest to the actual spill origin. These strategy deployment priorities may be modified by the Incident Commander(s) after reviewing on scene information, including: tides, currents, weather conditions, oil type, initial trajectories, etc.

# It is assumed that control and containment at the source is the number one priority of any **response.** If, in the responder's best judgment, this type of response is infeasible then the priorities laid out in Chapter 4, Section 2 take precedence over containment and control.

It is important to note that strategies rely on the spill trajectory. A booming strategy listed as a high priority would not necessarily be implemented if the spill trajectory and booming location did not warrant action in that area. However, the priority tables should be followed until spill trajectory information becomes available, and modifications to the priority tables must be approved by the Incident Commander(s).

The strategies discussed in this GRP have been designed for use with persistent oils and may not be suitable for other petroleum or hazardous substance products. For hazardous substance spills, refer to the Northwest Area Contingency Plan, Chapter 7000.

#### **Standardized Response Language**

In order to avoid confusion in response terminology, this GRP uses standard National Interagency Incident Management System, Incident Command System (NIIMS, ICS) terminology and strategy names, which are defined in Appendix A, Table A-1 (e.g. diversion, containment, exclusion).

## Lower Columbia River Geographic Response Plan

Record of Changes

Date	Change Number	Summary of Changes	Initials of person making
Date	Change Maniber	Summary of Changes	change
August, 1992	Original Release	N/A	N/A
February 1, 1995	1st Change	Replacement of entire document, including revised strategies based on field verification.	
March 1, 1996	2nd Change	Minor updates to assorted text; revisions to numerous strategy maps and matrices	
December 31, 1997	3rd Change	Revisions to assorted text, strategy maps and matrices; addition of lower Willamette River	
August 2003	4 <sup>th</sup> Change	Update of Chapter 4 strategy maps and matrices	D. Davis
November 2003	5 <sup>th</sup> Change	Update of booming strategy priority tables, and development of shoreline type maps for Chapter 5	D. Davis

# **Table of Contents**

Spill Response Contact Sheet	i
How To Use This Geographic Response Plan	
Record of Changes	
1. Introduction: Scope of this Project	1-1
2.1 Physical Features	2.1
2.2 Hydrology	
2.3 Currents and Tides	
2.4 Winds	
2.5 Climate	
2.6 Risk Assessment	
3. Reference Maps	
Lower Columbia River Booming Strategy Key Maps	2 1
4. General Protection/Collection Strategies	3-1
4.1 Chapter Overview	4.1
4.2 Booming Strategy Priority Tables	
4.3.1 Proposed Booming and Collection Strategies: Maps	
4.3.2.1 Lower Columbia River Proposed Booming and Collection Strategies: Matrices	
4.3.2.2 Multnomah Channel Proposed Booming and Collection Strategies: Matrices	
4.3.2.3 Willamette River Proposed Booming and Collection Strategies: Matrices	
5. Shoreline Information	47
5.1 Shoreline Types and Sensitivity	5-1
5.2 Shoreline Type Maps	
5.3 Oil Countermeasure Matrix	
6. Sensitive Resource Description	
6.1 Chapter Overview	6-1
6.2 Fish	
6.3 Wildlife	
6.4 Flight Restiction Zones	
6.5 Hazing	
6.6 Flight Restiction Zones/ Sensitive Wildlife: Maps & Matrices	
6.7 Downstream Water Users	
7. Logistical Information	
7.1 Logistical Support	7-1
Appendices	
Appendix A: Summary of Protection Techniques	A-1
Appendix B: Geographic Response Plan Contributors	
Appendix C: Geographic Response Plan Comments/Corrections/Suggestions	

## Lower Columbia River, Oregon/Washington

#### GEOGRAPHIC RESPONSE PLAN

#### 1. INTRODUCTION: SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT

Geographic Response Plans are intended to help the first responders to a spill avoid the initial confusion that generally accompanies any spill. This document serves as the federal and state on-scene-coordinators "orders" during a spill in the area covered by this GRP (see Chapter 3 for area covered). As such, it has been approved by the U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office and the Washington State Department of Ecology Spills Program. Changes to this document are expected as more testing is conducted through drills, site visits, and actual use in spill situations. To submit comments, corrections, or suggestions please refer to Appendix C.

GRPs have been developed for the marine and inland waters of Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. They are prepared through the efforts and cooperation of the Washington Department of Ecology, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Idaho State Emergency Response Commission, the U.S. Coast Guard, the Environmental Protection Agency, tribes, other state and federal agencies, response organizations, and local emergency responders.

GRPs were developed through workshops involving federal, state, and local oil spill emergency response experts, response contractors, and representatives from tribes, industry, ports, environmental organizations, and pilots. Workshop participants identified resources which require protection, developed operational strategies, and pinpointed logistical support. A similar process has been used for major updates.

Following the workshops, the data gathered was processed and reproduced in the form of maps and matrices which appear in Chapters 4 through 6. The maps in Chapters 5 and 6 were generated using Canvas. Maps for Chapter 4 were generated using ArcView GIS. The matrices were created using MS Excel, and the balance of each GRP was produced using MS Word.

The first goal of a GRP was to identify, with the assistance of the Washington State Natural Resource Damage Assessment Team, resources needing protection; response resources (boom, boat ramps, vessels, etc.) needed, site access and staging, tribal and local response community contacts, and local conditions (e.g. physical features, hydrology, currents and tides, winds and climate) that may affect response strategies. Note that GRPs only address protection of sensitive **public** resources. It is the responsibility of private resource owners and/or potentially liable parties to address protection of private resources (such as commercial marinas, private water intakes, and non-release aquaculture facilities).

Secondly, response strategies were developed based on the sensitive resources noted, hydrology, and climatic considerations. Individual response strategies identify the amount of boom necessary for implementation. The response strategies are then applied to Potential Spill Origins and trajectory modeling, and prioritized, taking into account factors such as resource sensitivity, feasibility, wind, and tidal conditions.

Draft strategy maps and matrices were sent out for review and consideration of strategy viability. Field verification was conducted for some strategies, and changes proposed by the participants were included in a semi-final draft, which was offered for final review to all interested parties and the participants of the field verification.

Finally, the general text of the GRP was compiled along with the site description, reference maps, and logistical support.

## Items included in Logistical Support:

- Location of operations center for the central response organization;
- Local equipment and trained personnel;
- Local facilities and services and appropriate contacts for each;
- Site access & contacts;
- Staging areas;
- Helicopter and air support;
- Local experts;
- Volunteer organizations;
- Potential wildlife rehabilitation centers;
- Marinas, docks, piers, and boat ramps;
- Potential interim storage locations, permitting process;
- Damaged vessel safehavens;
- Vessel repairs & cleaning;
- Response times for bringing equipment in from other areas.

#### 2. SITE DESCRIPTION

The Lower Columbia River addressed in this GRP includes that lower portion of the Columbia River from Bonneville Dam to the estuary at the mouth of the river, a distance of approximately 145 miles, and the lower Willamette River from Willamette Falls to the confluence with the Columbia, a distance of approximately 26 miles. The lower portion of the 1,210 mile long Columbia River contains an extensive variety of fish, wildlife, and habitat. For this reason, compounded by industry use along its shorelines, larger vessels and increased vessel traffic, this area is highly vulnerable to environmental damage by oil or hazardous materials spills.

Terrain varies from sandy beaches to intermittent rocky areas of rip-rap and intertidal zones with steep cliffs that have limited or no access. The varied stretches of sandy beach represent areas of significant human use. Portions of the riverbank are steep, completely inaccessible rocky cliffs. Other areas are rocky intertidal to cobble type beaches. There are many mid-stream rocks and sand islands that provide bird rookeries and marine mammal haulouts. Interspersed along both the Columbia and Willamette rivers are many small freshwater drainages.

## 2.2. Physical Features

Use of the Columbia River and lower Willamette River waterways throughout the year by many species of wildlife in a variety of stages of development, at locations scattered all along the lower length of the river is well documented. As a result there are 11 major wildlife refuges and state parks located between the Portland/Vancouver area and the Pacific Ocean.

The outflow of the Columbia River forms a vast estuary. This estuary is a meeting point between saltwater and fresh water and the surrounding land. The resulting fragile environment is characterized by highly variable physical, chemical, and biological conditions, allowing organisms from saltwater and fresh water and land to proliferate with great abundance and diversity. Components of this estuary include tidelands, salt mashes, sand spits, uplands, and river channels, all of which interact to create a highly productive habitat.

This GRP addresses the lower 145 miles of the Columbia River and the lower 26 miles of the Willamette River. In addition to the miles of sandy beaches and its sensitive estuary, the Columbia River has its flow augmented by the confluence of the flows from the following creeks and rivers:

Hamilton Creek - Hamilton Creek lies approximately 142 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Sandy River** - Sandy River lies approximately 121 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Washougal River - Washougal River lies approximately 121 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Willamette River - Willamette River lies approximately 102 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Lake River - Lake River lies approximately 88 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Lewis River - Lewis River lies approximately 85.5 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Kalama River - Kalama River lies approximately 73 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Cowlitz River** - Cowlitz River lies approximately 68 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Mill/Germany/Abernathy Creeks** - Mill/Germany/Abernathy Creeks lie approximately 55 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Clatskanie River - Clatskanie River lies approximately 50 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Elochoman River** - Elochoman River lies approximately 41 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Skamokawa Creek** - Skamokawa Creek lies approximately 33 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

Big Creek - Big Creek lies approximately 28 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Crooked Creek** - Crooked Creek lies approximately 23 miles east of the Columbia River mouth in Grays Bay.

**Grays River** - Grays River lies approximately 22.5 miles east of the Columbia River mouth in Grays Bay.

**Deep River** - Deep River lies approximately 21 miles east of the Columbia River mouth in Grays Bay.

John Day River - John Day River lies approximately 18 miles east of the Columbia River mouth.

**Youngs River** - Youngs River lies approximately 13.5 miles east of the Columbia River mouth in Youngs Bay.

**Lewis & Clark River** - Lewis & Clark River lies approximately 13 miles east of the Columbia River mouth In Youngs Bay.

**Chinook River** - Chinook River lies approximately 5 miles east of the Columbia River mouth in Baker Bay.

**Wollacut River** - Wollacut River lies approximately 4 miles east of the Columbia River mouth in Baker Bay.

Significant tributaries to the Willamette River include:

Clackamas River – Clackamas River lies approximately 25 miles south of the Willamette River mouth.

Oswego Creek – Oswego Creek lies approximately 21 miles south of the Willamette River mouth.

Johnson Creek – Johnson Creek lies approximately 19 miles south of the Willamette River mouth.

Kellogg Creek – Kellogg Creek lies approximately 19 miles south of the Willamette River mouth.

This additional water volume, along with the natural water disturbances created by the variances of the riverbank and current flow, create numerous rips, back eddies, and still waters. These will cause the spilled oil to concentrate at various points along the rivers. The oil will also tend to strand and ground at the high water line on a falling tide and on the outside of bends.

#### 2.3. Hydrology

Because of the density differences between the fresh water flowing downriver and the salt water driven upriver by tidal forces, a two-layered system or "tidal wedge" develops in the Columbia River, where the surface current moves downstream and the bottom, saltier water moves upstream. This wedge can be apparent as far upriver as Tongue Point (rm 18) and is usually associated with a turbidity maximum.

#### 2.4. Currents and Tides

Water levels and velocities in the Lower Columbia River are a function of several factors: seasonal runoff, tidal effects, and the volume of water released by upstream dams. Information from several sources have been included in an effort to give a general view of the river conditions.

<u>Tides:</u> The tides of the Pacific Northwest are semidiurnal - meaning there are two high waters and two low waters each tidal day. Tidal effects on the Columbia River can be felt during low river flow up to Warrendale, Oregon (approximately River Mile 141). Data for stations above Harrington Point apply only during low river stages. The tidal range for the Columbia River is greatest near the mouth, with the tidal influence decreasing upriver. The mean tidal range (MHW-MLW) at Astoria is 6.6 feet and the diurnal range (MHHW-MLLW) is 8.4 feet. The mean tidal range at Warrendale, Oregon is 0.4 feet and the diurnal range is 0.6 feet.<sup>1</sup>

<u>Currents</u>: The tidal current in the Columbia River is always modified by the river discharge, sometimes to the extent that the flood current is indiscernible and the current ebbs continuously. The lower Columbia River is subject to annual freshets (flooding) in the late fall and early winter when rains are the heaviest. Short range predictions on river flow are available from the NOAA Weather Service Northwest River Forecast Center in Portland, Oregon.

<u>Multnomah Channel Effect</u>: The Multnomah Channel runs from the Willamette River to the Columbia River on the southwest side of Sauvie Island. During the spring and early summer when flow rates down the Columbia River are high, the water level of the Columbia River may be higher than the water level in the Willamette River at their confluence. When this occurs, part of the Columbia River will actually flow up the Willamette River until it reaches the Multnomah Channel. At this point, the combined flow of both rivers will be directed downstream through Multnomah Channel until it converges again with the main stream of the Columbia River.

Oil spilled in the Willamette River or on the Columbia upriver from the Willamette confluence will flow down the Multnomah Channel. At the Willamette River/Multnomah Channel confluence, the converging currents create a slack water which tends to disperse the pollutants to both sides of the Willamette River.

The average surface water velocity for the lower Columbia River at Vancouver is 1 - 1.5 knots downstream. Surface water velocity in the lower Columbia at low summer/fall flow is 0.5 knots upstream on an incoming high tide, and 1.0 knots downstream on an outgoing low tide.

The average surface water velocity for the Willamette River at Portland is 0.5 knots downstream. Surface water velocity in the Willamette River at low summer/fall flow is 0.3 knots upstream on an incoming high tide, and 0.5 knots downstream on an outgoing low tide.<sup>2,3</sup>

2-3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1995. <u>Tide Tables West Coast of North & South America</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1995. Tide Tables Pacific Coast of North & South America.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Columbia River Pilots Assn. March 9,1995. <u>Presentation at Columbia River Oil Transfer Location Workshop.</u>

#### **2.5.** Winds

The northern coast can be affected by strong winds, at times in excess of 100 miles per hour. These winds typically come from the north to northwest in the summer and the southeast to east in the winter. During the summer, the predominant wind direction is from the northwest with speeds ranging from 10 to 15 knots. However maximum peak wind gusts range from 30 to 40 knots. The mean wave heights are about 4.9 feet with maximum heights of 14.7 feet. In the winter, the winds are primarily from the east to southeast at 10 t 15 knots with maximum peak wind gusts ranging from about 55 to 65 knots. Average wave heights are 4.9 feet with maximum wave heights of 32.8 feet. In particular, the coastal mountain range deflects winds so that they tend to flow parallel to the coastline. In areas with lower mountains, this effect may not be as prominent. Winds in Astoria and Portland have an annual mean velocity of 8 knots with directions varying throughout the year.

#### 2.6. Climate

The entire coast is characterized by a maritime climate with cool summers and mild winters. Air temperatures are in the mid 40's in the winter and the low 60's in the summer. Water temperatures are fairly constant, normally in the low 50's. Annual rainfall varies between Astoria and Portland. Astoria averages 66.34" per year and Portland averages 37.61" per year.

#### 2.7. Risk Assessment

#### **VESSELS**

The primary transportation patterns for the Trans-Alaskan Pipeline Trade that affect the Oregon Coast are between Prince William Sound and Richmond, California. The routes for major shipping traffic keep the super tankers 50-60 NM off shore. This distance is believed to minimize coastal effects from a catastrophic spill.

Refined product in barges and small tankers is transported closer to the shoreline and up the Columbia River as far as Pasco, Washington. There are on the average, 160 tank barge movements as well as 50-60 bunkering operations by barge to a variety of vessels per month. The majority of these bunker barges have a capacity of 15,000 bbls.

Annually, self propelled tankers make approximately 100 port calls to the Portland area. The majority of the tank vessels are approximately 39,000 dwt tonnage, having capacity of approximately 275,000 bbls, although the largest have a capacity of 400,000 bbls. Supertankers in ballast also transit the river enroute the Portland Ship yard for routine inspections and maintenance. Approximately 2,000 general cargo, bulk, and container vessels enter the river annually, carrying bunker fuels of approximately 15,000 barrels capacity.

The largest spill in Columbia River history occurred in 1984 when the T/V Mobiloil ran aground on Warrior Rock near the north end of Sauvie Island. This grounding allowed for the spewing of 200,000 gallons of refined oil to the surrounding area. The 1979 *Oil Spill Protection Plan for the Natural Resources of the Lower Columbia and Willamette Rivers* published by DEQ was implemented and cleanup ensued. There was still not an abundance of cleanup equipment positioned along the river at this time, so response was hampered.

More recently this river system has fallen prey to a series of bunkering and cargo transfer related spills, the largest of which was the 11,000 gallon discharge of Intermediate Fuel Oil (IFO) 380 from the M/V Tai Chung at the Columbia Aluminum Facility along the Willamette River (RM 10) just upstream of Swan Island in December of 1991. The product was a thick, viscous oil with high persistency on the affected shoreline. Two similar bunkering mishaps occurred within six months of each other at Longview Anchorage (RM 65).

The M/V Central spewed approximately 3,000 gallons of IFO 180 on the morning of June 3, 1993, when the fill valve to tank 5 starboard was not fully closed. This allowed fuel to continue entering the tank unknown to the vessel's crew, until it flowed from the tanks on deck vent and over the side. High river discharge kept the majority of oil in the main navigational channel and flushed it downriver.

Six months later, on January 10, 1994, the M/V An Ping 6 replayed the events of M/V Central and spilled a similar amount of product at the same location. River discharge was 1/3 the volume of the earlier incident, and portions of the Washington shoreline were badly impacted. Heaviest oiling was found in and around Fisher Island, and cleanup lasted a full 30 days. Response mechanisms were in place by this time and boom and skimmers were deployed as part of the Maritime Fire and Safety Association's Vessel Umbrella Contingency Plan.


A series of other bunker and transfer related spills have plagued the Columbia River, usually with a lighter, less persistent product. Routine training by the covered facilities, the Clean Rivers Cooperative, Maritime Fire and Safety Association, and various contractors helps ensure discharges will be efficiently contained and recovered.


#### **FACILITIES**

Both the Columbia and Willamette Rivers are home to many oil facilities. Very little crude oil is transported to these facilities, with the majority being refined products heading to the large tank farms along the lower Willamette. Over half the oil transported to the region daily comes through pipeline, which crosses the river at various locations.

Of the approximately 30 facilities in this region, the smallest have 1 surface tank with a volume of 10,000 bbls whereas the largest facilities have as many as 100 surface tanks with a capacity of 1.8 million bbls. Many of these tanks are kept within unlined, earthen secondary containment berms capable of holding 100% of the tank contents. These facilities may be vulnerable to natural disasters, equipment failures, and other catastrophes that could lead to a partial or complete loss of tank contents. As a result of recent state and federal legislation, most facilities maintain several thousand feet of harbor and sorbent boom and a means of deployment on site.

With a river current in excess of one knot and sometimes 2 - 3 knots, the time frame in which to respond to contain and/or divert moving oil is very short. History has shown that oil can move from the Port of Vancouver area to the mouth of the Columbia River in less than three days. Boom identified in planning workshops require 54,400 feet of boom to implement all the response strategies.





## 7. Logistical Information

The following is not a complete list of logistical resources - for more information please refer to the Area Contingency Plan, Summary of Area Resources Chapter 6.

The subject headings which have an asterisk (\*) are being developed; please consult local DEM officials (phone numbers listed in the ACP) for specific information.

To submit data for this section, please use Comments/ Corrections/ Suggestions (Appendix C).

## 7.1 Logistical Support

The following list was compiled at the Lower Columbia River Geographic Response Plan Workshop held in Lower Columbia River on February 18, 1993. Areas of information include: command posts; communications; equipment cache locations; inventory of local support equipment; air support; access points to the Bay; and other pertinent logistical support.

Subject	Name	Characteristics	Contact	Phone #
<b>Command Posts</b>				
	Large Local Motels	Meeting rooms available	Chambers of Commerce	
	County Fairgrounds	Good option for wildlife rehab operation; good for upriver spills		
	Tongue Point	Excellent water access, staging, security	Job Corps	
	Port of Astoria	Small space available for forward command post	Port Offices	
	PGE Beaver Facility	Helicopter landing pad; empty storage tanks available for interim storage	Chuck Carlson	
	Port of Ilwaco	Good forward command post. Limited office, but good for trailers. Ramp; helo access		
	Camp Rilea	Enormous National Guard Facility, with storage, berthing, security, air traffic control, messing	Oregon National Guard	

Subject	Name	Characteristics	Contact	Phone #
	Frenchman's Bar	6508 Lower River	Clark County Parks	
	Riverfront Park	Rd. – Good field		
		command post site		
		with significant		
		space for trailers		
Communications				
See NWACP, Chapter 6				
<b>Equipment Cache</b>				
Locations				
	Astoria	MSRC	Barry Kevan-Everett ,WA	(425) 304- 1526
		Clean Rivers/MFSA	Brent Way	(503) 220- 2040
		Foss	Tim Archer	(503) 978-
				7272
	Cathlamet	Clean Rivers/	Brent Way	(503) 220-
		MFSA		2040
	Skamakowa	Clean Rivers/ MFSA	Brent Way	(503) 220- 2040
	Stella	Clean Rivers/	Brent Way	(503) 220-
		MFSA		2040
	Longview	Cowlitz Clean Sweep	Bob Matson	(503) 965- 6165
	Wauna	Clean Rivers/ MFSA	Brent Way	(503) 220- 2040
	Rainier	Clean Rivers/	Brent Way	(503) 228-
	Kamici	MFSA	Bient way	4361
		IVII DI I	Tim Archer	(503) 978-
		Foss		7272
	Portland	Clean Rivers/	Brent Way	(503) 220-
		MFSA		2040
			Tim Archer	(503) 978-
		Foss		7272
			Holly Robinson	(360) 693-
		Tidewater		1491
Also see NWACP, Chapter 6				
Inventory of Local Support Equipment				
Helicopter Support/Air Support				
~ ~ PP V				
Access Points				
	Aldrich Point	Concrete		
	Boardman Park	Concrete		
	Celilo Park	Asphalt		
	Coverts Landing	Concrete		
	Dalton Point	Asphalt		

Subject	Name	Characteristics	Contact	Phone #
*	East Mooring Basin	Concrete		
	Giles French Park	Concrete		
	Fort Canby Park	Concrete		
	Hammond Mooring	Asphalt		
	Basin			
	Harbor 1	Hoist		
	Hood River	Concrete		
	Irrigon Marina Park	Concrete		
	Jantzen Beach	Asphalt		
	James Gleason Ramp	Concrete		
	Mayer State Park	Concrete		
	Pier 99 Marine Center	Hoist		
	Port of Arlington	Concrete		
	Cascade Locks	Asphalt		
	Port of Dalles	Concrete		
	Quesnel Park	Concrete		
	Rainier Marina	Asphalt		
	Rooster Rock State Park	Asphalt		
	Goble Landing	Concrete		
	St. Helens Marina	Asphalt		
	Sundance Moorage	Hoist		
	Sundial	Asphalt		
	Tongue Point	Concrete		
	Umatilla Marina	Concrete		
	Westport Ramp	Concrete		
	Willow Grove Park	Concrete		
	(NOTE: Above list does			
	not Willamette River			
	Boat Ramps)			
<b>Property Access</b>				
Information and				
Contacts				
Staging Areas				
Recreational activities				
which could interfere				
T 1 I D	C. I. I. D. I.		D 1 1000	(502) 220
Tribal Resources	Columbia River Inter-		Portland Office	(503) 238-
	Tribal Fish Commission			0667
Voy Local Floated				
Key Local Elected Officials				
Officials				
Fire Department				
rne Department				
Local Personnel				
Support Support				
<b>ոսիի</b> ու				

Subject	Name	Characteristics	Contact	Phone #
<b>T</b> 7 <b>1</b> ,				
Volunteers				
Wildlife Rehab				
facilities				
Marinas/Port docks				
Housing/ feeding/				
support				
Interim				
storage/permits				
storage/permits				
Fishing fleets &				
affiliated				
organizations*				
Boat cleaning				
capability*				
Safe havens				
Saie navens				

# **APPENDICES**

# **Appendix A: Summary of Protection Techniques**

<b>Protection Techniques</b>	Description	Primary Logistical Requirements	Limitations
ONSHORE			
Beach Berms	A berm is constructed along the top of the mid-inter tidal zone from sediments excavated along the downgradient side. The berm should be covered with plastic or geo-textile sheeting to minimize wave erosion.	<ul> <li>Bulldozer/Motor grader -1</li> <li>Personnel - equipment operator &amp; 1 worker</li> <li>Misc plastic or geotextile sheeting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High wave energy</li> <li>Large tidal range</li> <li>Strong along shore currents</li> </ul>
Geotextiles	A roll of geotextile, plastic sheeting, or other impermeable material is spread along the bottom of the supra-tidal zone & fastened to the underlying logs or stakes placed in the ground.	<ul> <li>Geotextile - 3 m wide rolls</li> <li>Personnel - 5</li> <li>Misc stakes or tie-down cord</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low sloped shoreline</li> <li>High spring tides</li> <li>Large storms</li> </ul>
Sorbent Barriers	A barrier is constructed by installing two parallel lines of stakes across a channel, fastening wire mesh to the stakes & filling the space between with loose sorbents.	Per 30 meters of barrier  Wire mesh - 70 m x 2 m  Stakes - 20  Sorbents - 30 m <sup>2</sup> Personnel - 2  Misc fasteners, support lines, additional stakes, etc.	<ul> <li>Waves &gt; 25 cm</li> <li>Currents &gt; 0.5 m/s</li> <li>Tidal range &gt; 2 m</li> </ul>
Inlet Dams	A dam is constructed across the channel using local soil or beach sediments to exclude oil from entering channel.	<ul> <li>Loader - 1</li> <li>Personnel - equipment operator &amp; 1 worker or several workers w/shovels</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Waves &gt; 25 cm</li> <li>Tidal range exceeding dam height</li> <li>Freshwater outflow</li> </ul>

A-1 March 2003

NEARSHORE			
Containment Booming	Boom is deployed in a "U" shape in front of the oncoming slick. The ends of the booms are anchored by work boats or drogues. The oil is contained within the "U" & prevented from reaching the shore.	For 150 meters Slick:  Boom - 280 m  Boats - 2  Personnel - boat crews & 4 boom tenders  Misc tow lines, drogues, connectors, etc.	<ul> <li>High winds</li> <li>Swells &gt; 2 m</li> <li>Breaking waves &gt; 50 cm</li> <li>Currents &gt; 1.0 m/s</li> </ul>
Exclusion Booming	Boom is deployed across or around sensitive areas & anchored in place. Approaching oil is deflected or contained by boom.	Per 300 meters of Boom  Boats - 1  Personnel - boat crew & 3 boom tenders  Misc 6 anchors, anchor line, buoys, etc.	<ul> <li>Currents &gt; 0.5 m/s</li> <li>Breaking waves &gt; 50 cm</li> <li>Water depth &gt; 20 m</li> </ul>
Deflection Booming	Boom is deployed from the shoreline away from the approaching slick & anchored or held in place with a work boat. Oil is deflected away from shoreline.	Single Boom, 0.75 m/s knot current  Boom - 60 m  Boats - 1  Personnel - boat crew + 3  Misc 3 anchors, line, buoys, recovery unit	<ul> <li>Currents &gt; 1.0 m/s</li> <li>Breaking waves &gt; 50 cm</li> </ul>
Diversion Booming	Boom is deployed from the shoreline at an angle towards the approaching slick & anchored or held in place with a work boat. Oil is diverted towards the shoreline for recovery.	Single Boom, 0.75 m/s knot current  Boom - 60 m  boats - 1  Personnel - boat crew + 3  Misc 3 anchors, line, buoys, recovery unit	<ul> <li>Currents &gt; 1.0 m/s</li> <li>Breaking waves &gt; 50 cm</li> </ul>
Skimming	Self-propelled skimmers work back & forth along the leading edge of a windrow to recover the oil. Booms may be deployed from the front of a skimmer in a "V" configuration to increase sweep width. Portable skimmers are placed within containment booms in the area of heaviest oil concentration.	Self-propelled (None) Towed  Boom - 200 m  Boats - 2  Personnel - boat crews & 4 boom tenders  Misc tow lines, bridles, connectors, etc.  Portable  Hoses - 30 m discharge  Oil storage - 2000 liters	<ul> <li>High winds</li> <li>Swells &gt; 2 m</li> <li>Breaking waves &gt; 50 cm</li> <li>Currents &gt; 1.0 m/s</li> </ul>

A-2 March 2003

#### **Appendix B: Original Geographic Response Plan Contributors**

#### **Industry and Response Contractors**

Tim Archer, Foss Environmental

Heather Bartlett, Simpson Paper Company

Gary Braun, Tetra Tech Inc.

Jeff Brooks, Riedel Environmental Services

Mark Copeland, Clean Rivers Coop

Kendal Couch, Marine Spill Response Corp.

Dean Dale, Genwest Systems, Inc.

Donald Floyd, Fred Devine Diving & Salvage

Paul Gallagher, Foss Environmental

Don Hall, Pac Link

Rick Harshfield, Marine Spill Response Corp.

Dick Heymann, Riedel Environmental Services

Dean Lackey, Fred Devine Diving & Salvage

Ted Leigh, Marine Spill Response Corp.

Mike Lordos, Riedel Environmental Services

Bob Mattson, Cowlitz Clean Sweep

John Peterson, Riedel Environmental Services

Chris Sherwood, Battelle Marine Scieneces Lab

Evart Smith, Brix Maritime

Paul Stevenson, Columbia River Pilots

Lisa Stone, Marine Spill Response Corp.

Brent Way, Clean Rivers Coop

Harold Zarling

Jack Zimmerman

## **Local Representatives**

Dave Glasson, City of Long Beach

Jon Graves, CREST

Carol Rushmore, CREST

Darlena Wilson, Pacific Co. Emer. Management

## **State Representatives - Washington**

## **Washington State Department of Ecology**

Jeff Bash

Don Beery

Lin Bernhardt

Jeannie Brandt

Laura Geselbracht

Shari Harris-Dunning

Paul Heimowitz

Eric Heinitz

Steve Hunter

Dick Logan

**Brett Manning** 

Jim Oberlander

Dave Rogowski

#### State Representatives - Washington cont.

#### Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife

Rocky Beach

Brian Benson

Bill Graeber

Thom Hooper

Steve Jeffries

Jeff Skriletz

**Barry Troutman** 

#### Washington Office of Marine Safety

Joel Greene

## Washington Parks & Recreation Commission

Mike Barber

Larry Chapman

Mike Ramsey

## **Washington State Patrol**

Lonnie Brackins

Robert Johnson

#### **State Representatives - Oregon**

## **Oregon Economic Development Department**

Don Mann

# Oregon Department of Environmental

**Ouality** 

Rebecca DeMoss

Elizabeth Dimmick

Cordelia Shea

Bruce Sutherland

Jack Wylie

Loren Garner

## Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

Don Bennett

Joe Pesek

Terry Link

Greg Robart

Allen Taylor

Don Vandenberg

## **Oregon State Parks**

Peter Bond

B-1 March 2003

## **Federal Representatives**

#### **United States Coast Guard**

Christina Bjergo

Mark Corcoran

James Crawford

Chris Curatilo

Glenn Epler

Rod Leland

Rob Myles

Daniel Neptun

Janice Page

Greg Schultz

Paul Slyman

# **National Oceanic and Atmospheric**

## Administration

Ken Barton

Sharon Christopherson

Jerry Galt

Doug Helton

Gary May

## **U.S. Department of Interior**

Charles Polityka

Preston Sleeger

## U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Colleen Henson

Al Clark

Elizabeth Materna

Carol Schuler

#### **National Park Service**

Curt Ahola

Cynthia Orlando

B-2 March 2003

## Appendix C: Geographic Response Plan Comments/Corrections/Suggestions

If you have any questions regarding this document or find any errors, please notify one of the following agencies: or use tear out sheet (page C-3)

- Washington Department of Ecology, SPPR program, Natural Resources Unit
- USCG Marine Safety Office Puget Sound, Planning Department
- USCG Marine Safety Office Portland
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
- Idaho Emergency Response Commission
- Environmental Protection Agency Region 10

Phone Numbers:		<b>Bulletin Board System (BBS)</b>	•
Washington DOE	(360) 407-6972	USCG MSO Puget Sound	(206) 217-6216
USCG MSO Puget Sound	(206) 217-6213	USCG MSO Portland	(503) 240-9308
USCG MSO Portland	(503) 240-9307		
Oregon DEQ	(503) 229-5774		
Idaho ERC	(208) 334-3263		
EPA	(206) 553-6901		

#### **Internet/E-mail Address:**

WADOE
OR DEQ
Jack Wylie, WYLIE.Jack@deq.state.or.us
USCG MSO Puget Sound
USCG MSO Portland
USEPA
Dale Davis, dald461@ecy.wa.gov
Jack Wylie, WYLIE.Jack@deq.state.or.us
LT Tedd Hutley, thutley@pacnorwest.uscg.mil
LT Rob Walls, rwalls@pacnorwest.uscg.mil
Beth Sheldrake, sheldrake.beth@epamail.epa.gov

#### Address:

Commanding Officer United States Coast Guard MSO Puget Sound Planning Department 1519 Alaskan Way South Seattle, WA 98134-1192	Washington Department Of Ecology SPPR Program Natural Resources Unit P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600	Office Of The Governor Idaho Emergency Response Commission 1109 Main Statehouse Boise, ID 83720-7000
Commanding Officer United States Coast Guard Planning Department MSO Portland 6767 North Basin Ave Portland, OR 97217-3992	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Water Quality Division 811 SW Sixth Avenue Portland, OR 97204	Environmental Protection Agency Emergency Response Branch 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101

C-1 March 2003

## Geographic Response Plan

## **Comments/Corrections/Suggestions**

#### Directions:

Fill in your name, address, agency, and phone number. Fill in the blanks regarding the location of information in the plan being commented on. Make comments in the space provided. Add extra sheets as necessary. Submit to: Dale Davis

Department of Ecology 300 Desmond Drive P.O. Box 47600

Olympia, WA 98504-7600 dald461@ecy.wa.gov

Name:	Title: Agency:
Address:	
	State/Province: Zip/Postal Code:
Phone: ()	E-Mail:
GRP:	Page Number:
Location on page (chapter, section, parag 3):	

C-2 March 2003

Northwest Area Committee c/o Washington Department of Ecology Spills Program Natural Resources Unit - GRP Corrections P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600